



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/537,280

05/27/2005

Jane Sanders

AATH.P-001

1845

57381

7590

11/25/2009

Larson & Anderson, LLC

P.O. BOX 4928

DILLON, CO 80435

EXAMINER

WOODWARD, CHERIE MICHELLE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1647

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/25/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/537,280	Applicant(s) SANDERS ET AL.	
	Examiner CHERIE M. WOODWARD	Art Unit 1647	

All Participants:

(1) Cherie M. Woodward.

(2) Marina Larson.

Date of Interview: 23 November 2009

Status of Application: Second After-Final Amendment

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: 11am

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible new 102(b) rejection over Yoshida et al.

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

Yoshida et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Cherie M. Woodward/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted due to the short period of time prior to the expiration of the 6 month statutory deadline. Excess new claims were added in the second After-Final Amendment filed 10/9/2009 and the claims could not be entered. Also, the Yoshida reference, filed on 10/9/2009 raises new issues, including new rejections under 102(b) over at least claim 121. Accordingly, the examiner could not enter second After-Final Amendment.